

Board of Supervisors Responses--2020-2021 Grand Jury Report "Justice in the Jail"

Caitlin Smith < Caitlin. Smith@santacruzcounty.us>

Mon, Sep 20, 2021 at 11:54 AM

Good Morning,

Please see attached for the Board of Supervisors responses to the 2020-2021 Grand Jury Report titled "Justice in the Jail."

Best,

Caitlin C. Smith

County Supervisors' Analyst

Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors

701 Ocean Street, Room 500

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

831-454-3516

caitlin.smith@santacruzcounty.us

To email all five members of the Board of Supervisors at once,

please use: BoardOfSupervisors@santacruzcounty.us

2 attachments

Grand Jury Report Board Response cover letter-Justice in the Jail.pdf 36K

Board of Supervisors Response--Justice in the Jail.pdf



County of Santa Cruz

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 500, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4069 (831) 454-2200 • FAX: (831) 454-3262 TDD/TTY - Call 711

MANU KOENIG FIRST DISTRICT ZACH FRIEND SECOND DISTRICT RYAN COONERTY THIRD DISTRICT GREG CAPUT FOURTH DISTRICT

BRUCE MCPHERSON FIFTH DISTRICT

September 16, 2021

The Honorable Syda Cogliati Santa Cruz Courthouse 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Response to the 2020-2021 Grand Jury Report "Justice in the Jail"

Dear Judge Cogliati:

The purpose of this letter is to formally transmit the response of the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors to the 2020-2021 Grand Jury Report, titled "Justice in the Jail."

Sincerely,

BRUCE MCPHERSON, Chair

Board of Supervisors

BM:ccs Attachment

CC: Clerk of the Board

Santa Cruz County Grand Jury



The 2020–2021 Santa Cruz County Civil Grand Jury Requires the

Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors

to Respond to the Findings and Recommendations listed below which were assigned to them in the Report Titled

Justice in the Jail

"The degree of civilization in a society can be judged by entering its prisons." – Fyodor Dostoevsky

by September 15, 2021

Responses are **required** from elected officials, elected agency or department heads, and elected boards, councils, and committees which are investigated by the Grand Jury. You are required to respond by the California Penal Code (PC) §933(c).

Your response will be considered **compliant** under <u>PC §933.05</u> if it contains an appropriate comment on **all** findings and recommendations **which were assigned to you** in this report.

Please follow the instructions below when preparing your response.

Instructions for Respondents

Your assigned <u>Findings</u> and <u>Recommendations</u> are listed on the following pages with check boxes and an expandable space for summaries, timeframes, and explanations. Please follow these instructions, which paraphrase <u>PC §933.05</u>:

- 1. For the Findings, mark one of the following responses with an "X" and provide the required additional information:
 - a. AGREE with the Finding, or
 - b. **PARTIALLY DISAGREE with the Finding** specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons why, or
 - c. **DISAGREE with the Finding** provide an explanation of the reasons why.
- 2. For the Recommendations, mark one of the following actions with an "X" and provide the required additional information:
 - a. HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED provide a summary of the action taken, or
 - b. **HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE** provide a timeframe or expected date for completion, or
 - c. **REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS** provide an explanation, scope, and parameters of an analysis to be completed within six months, or
 - d. **WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED** provide an explanation of why it is not warranted or not reasonable.
- 3. Please confirm the date on which you approved the assigned responses:

| We approved these re | sponses in a regular pub | lic meeting as shown |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| in our minutes dated _ | September 14, 2021 | |

4. When your responses are complete, please email your completed Response Packet as a PDF file attachment to both

The Honorable Judge Syda Cogliati <u>Syda.Cogliati@santacruzcourt.org</u> **and** The Santa Cruz County Grand Jury <u>grandjury@scgrandjury.org</u>.

If you have questions about this response form, please contact the Grand Jury by calling 831-454-2099 or by sending an email to grandjury@scgrandjury.org.

Findings

| F1. | The Board of Supervisors has failed to assert and exercise proper oversight within their purview of the Main jail. |
|-------|---|
| | AGREE |
| | PARTIALLY DISAGREE |
| _X_ | DISAGREE |
| Respo | onse explanation (required for a response other than Agree): |
| The | Board is routinely advised on jail related issues and provides input as needed. |
| | |
| F2. | Adoption of a Sheriffs Oversight Board or Inspector General under Government Code § 25303.7 will provide necessary public transparency and structure to support the Board of Supervisors' supervision of the Sheriff's Office Corrections Bureau. |
| | AGREE |
| | PARTIALLY DISAGREE |
| _x_ | DISAGREE |
| Respo | onse explanation (required for a response other than Agree): |
| | Board of State and Community Corrections and the Civil Grand Jury already provide tive oversight. |
| | |

| F3. | Adoption of a Sheriffs Oversight Board or Inspector General under Government Code § 25303.7 will provide an effective advocate before the Board of Supervisors and the public regarding the Sheriff's needs. |
|--------------|--|
| | AGREE |
| | PARTIALLY DISAGREE |
| _ x _ | DISAGREE |
| Respo | onse explanation (required for a response other than Agree): |

The Board of State and Community Corrections and the Civil Grand Jury already provide effective oversight.

Recommendations

Within six months the Board of Supervisors should either establish a Sheriff Oversight Board or Inspector General as provided in Government Code § 25303.7, or alternatively place the issue before the voters in the county. (F1–F9)
 HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done
 HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe
 REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)
 WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:

The Board of State and Community Corrections and the Civil Grand Jury already provide effective oversight.

Within six months the Board of Supervisors should agendize and open for public comment issues raised by Government Code § 25303.7. (F1–F9)

HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done
HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe

REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)

WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:

There is an existing public comment period during every Board meeting. The public comment period is an appropriate forum for members of the public to directly address the Board on items that are not on the Agenda.

Within six months the Sheriff should propose for the Board of Supervisors' review and approval an increase in Correction Officer staffing and associated budget to reduce the need for mandatory overtime and to sufficiently staff the Main Jail. (F1, F9)
 HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED – summarize what has been done HAS NOT YET BEEN IMPLEMENTED BUT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE – summarize what will be done and the timeframe
 REQUIRES FURTHER ANALYSIS – explain the scope and timeframe (not to exceed six months)
 WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED – explain why

Required response explanation, summary, and timeframe:

The budget is approved annually and Corrections Bureau staffing levels for the fiscal year 2021-22 are adequate. Mandatory overtime is in place due to several unfunded and vacant positions and because of 11 corrections officers being out on long term leave (FMLA, worker comp, etc.).